

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/637,266		08/10/2000	Forrest B. Phillips	22845.01300	6997
33865	7590	02/11/2004		EXAMINER	
RIVKIN R			DONNELLY, JEROME W		
1330 N. DU SUITE 200	1330 N. DUTTON AVENUE SUITE 200				PAPER NUMBER
SANTA ROSA, CA 95401				3764	//
				DATE MAILED: 02/11/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary	09637266	Phillips					
omeo neuem cummany	Examiner	Art Unit					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	Jerome W Donnelly	3764					
Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	i6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to cause the application to become ABANDONE	ely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 81	atra 9-28-03						
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) Thi	s action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) 8-19 is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6) Claim(s) <u>7</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	• •						
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bur * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies of the priori 	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	•					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provision al application).							
a) The translation of the foreign language pro- 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	visional application has been rece c priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120	and/or 121					
Attachment(s)	Dur	Primary Examiner					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)		(PTO-413) Paper No(s) ratent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 09/637,266

Art Unit: 3764

Claims 8-19 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in Paper No. 15.

Applicant's election with traverse of Group I claims, 1-7 in Paper No. 15 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that all of the claims are directed to a single invention. This is not found persuasive because all of the inventions are not apparatus and all are not directed to the same apparatus.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

After careful reconsideration the examiner has determined that claim 7 should be examined and will be examined with group 1.

Claim 5 objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-3 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Becker.

Application/Control Number: 09/637,266

Art Unit: 3764

Becker discloses a device comprising a sports board, a waxed backing strip, said strip being manufactured of synthetic fiber and on an opposite side including adhesive and said backing strop being applied to the undersurface of the ski.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Becker in view of Slagle.

Becker discloses the device of claim 4 substantially as claimed absent a low friction sheet for contacting a support surface.

Slagle teaches providing a sheet for aiding in indoor practice of a snow sport.

Given the above teaching the examiner notes that it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide low friction sheeting when using the device of Becker indoors.

Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

It is not clear as to what layer is in "sliding contact with the sports board". The layer which contacts the sports board is disclosed as being adhered to said sports board.

Application/Control Number: 09/637,266

Art Unit: 3764

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. 1) Note the low friction contact sheets of Meldeau and Makous.

Note the board simulator of Giovanni.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Jerome Donnelly at telephone number 308-2668.

Donnelly/DI

January 20, 2004